

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,997	06/27/2003	Darwin J. Prockop	053844-5002-01US	8493
28977	7590 02/23/2005		EXAMINER	
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			KELLY, ROBERT M	
1701 MARKE PHILADELPI	ET STREET HIA, PA 19103-2921		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/608,997	PROCKOP ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert M Kelly	1632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 June 2003</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or example.</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8 and 16-18, drawn to a method of treating a human patient having a disease, disorder or condition of the central nervous system comprising administering non-transformed stromal cells obtained from a donor, classified in class 424, subclass 93.1.
- II. Claims 1, 8-15, and 17, drawn to a method of treating a human patient having a disease, disorder or condition of the central nervous system comprising administering stromal cells obtained from a donor that have been transformed with an exogenous nucleic acid encoding an exogenous protein, classified in class 435, subclass 325.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Groups I and II are patentably distinct. Inventions are patentably distinct if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and have different, non-coextensive, structure, which provide for different, non-coextensive effects to effect the method of treatment. To wit, group I simply requires stromal cells, while group II requires stromal cells transformed and expressing an exogenous nucleic acid sequence. As such these different structures function differently, providing distinct effects, and requiring distinct considerations. Group I requires a consideration of the diseases/conditions/etc., that may be

Art Unit: 1632

treated through which particular routes of administration, while group II requires a consideration of the function of the exogenous nucleic acid and its ability to effect treatment, as well as a consideration of the incorporation and expression of the transgene itself.

As such, these groups require different, non-coextensive considerations, which, if the examiner were to consider both groups together, would pose a serious examination and search burden on the Examiner.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention of group II:

- (i) transfecting cells with:
  - (a) a nucleic acid encoding a cytokine (Claims 10 and 13),
  - (b) a nucleic acid encoding a chemokine (Claims 10 and 13),
  - (c) a neurotrophin (Claims 10 and 13),
  - (d) a nucleic acid encoding an antibody (Claims 10 and 13),
  - (e) a nucleic acid encoding a glioma-toxic protein (Claims 10 and 13), or
- (f) a nucleic acid encoding a wild-type copy of a mutated, non-functioning, or under-expressed gene (Claims 14-15), and,
- (iii) Applicant further must choose either a secreted protein or a non-secreted protein (Claims 9, 11, and 14-15).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 1, 9, and 11 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Application/Control Number: 10/608,997

Art Unit: 1632

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Robert M. Kelly whose telephone number is (571) 272-0729.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ram Shukla can be reached on (571) 272-0735. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert M. Kelly, Ph.D. Examiner, USPTO, AU 1632

2C55 Remsen Building

(571) 272-0729

ANNE M. WEHBE' PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5